## Fusion reactions in Lab

In lab-made fusion we use reactions with larger cross-sections:
$D+D \rightarrow T(1.01 \mathrm{MeV})+p(3.02 \mathrm{MeV})$
$\rightarrow{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}(0.82 \mathrm{MeV})+\mathrm{n}(2.45 \mathrm{MeV})$
$\mathrm{D}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(3.6 \mathrm{MeV})+\mathrm{p}(14.7 \mathrm{MeV})$
$\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{T} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(3.5 \mathrm{MeV})+\mathrm{n}(14.1 \mathrm{MeV})$
$\mathrm{T}+\mathrm{T} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \mathrm{n}+11.3 \mathrm{MeV}$



## Cross-sections: parameterisation

S-function represents slowly varying nuclear part of the fusion reaction probability
S-function is important for fitting cross-section to experimental data:

$$
\sigma=\frac{S(E)}{E \exp \left(B_{G} / \sqrt{E}\right)}
$$

S-function is calculated with R-matrix cross-section analysis and fitted with a Padé polynomial:

$$
S(E)=\frac{A 1+E(A 2+E(A 3+E(A 4+E A 5)))}{1+E(B 1+E(B 2+E(B 3+E B 4)))}
$$

R-matrix theory is a mathematical description and a parameterisation of nuclear reactions: a many-body nuclear system with a short range strong forces is treated as a system with only 2-body degrees of freedom outside the 'channel radii'.
(Wigner, Eisenbud Phys.Rev.72(1947)29 and Lane, Thomas Rev.Mod.Phys.30(1958)257)

## Cross-sections: parameterisation (2)

List of parameters for fusion cross-sections

| Coefficient | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n})^{3} \mathrm{He}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{G}}(\sqrt{\mathrm{keV})}$ | 34.3827 | 68.7508 | 31.3970 | 31.3970 |
| A1 | $6.927 \times 10^{4}$ | $5.7501 \times 10^{6}$ | $5.5576 \times 10^{4}$ | $5.3701 \times 10^{4}$ |
| A2 | $7.454 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.5226 \times 10^{3}$ | $2.1054 \times 10^{2}$ | $3.3027 \times 10^{2}$ |
| A3 | $2.050 \times 10^{6}$ | $4.5566 \times 10^{1}$ | $-3.2638 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-1.2706 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| A4 | $5.2002 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.0 | $1.4987 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.9327 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| A5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $1.8181 \times 10^{-10}$ | $-2.5151 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| B1 | $6.38 \times 10^{1}$ | $-3.1995 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| B2 | $-9.95 \times 10^{-1}$ | $-8.5530 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| B3 | $6.981 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.9014 \times 10^{-8}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| B4 | $1.728 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Energy range (keV) | $0.5-550$ | $0.3-900$ | $0.5-5000$ | $0.5-4900$ |
| $(\Delta S)_{\max }(\%)$ | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.5 |

E in keV ; cross sections in $\mathrm{mb} \equiv 10^{-27} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
Bosch, Hale Nuclear Fusion 32(1992)611

## Cross-sections



## Cross-sections: fusion reactivity parameterisation

In plasma, ions have a velocity distribution, $\quad f(\vec{V})$
and fusion rate is proportional to fusion reactivity : $\quad R=\frac{n_{i} n_{j}}{1+\delta_{i j}}\langle\sigma\rangle$
$n_{i}, n_{j} \quad$ - ion densities; fusion reactivity - $\langle\sigma\rangle=\iint f\left(\vec{V}_{1}\right) f\left(\vec{V}_{2}\right) \sigma\left(\left|\vec{V}_{1}-\vec{V}_{2}\right|\right)\left|\vec{V}_{1}-\vec{V}_{2}\right| d \vec{V}_{1} d \vec{V}_{2}$

Useful parameterisation for the fusion reactivites:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\sigma v=C 1 \theta \sqrt{\xi /\left(\mu c^{2} T^{3}\right)} e^{-3 \xi}\right. \\
& \theta=T /\left[1-\frac{T(C 2+T(C 4+T C 6))}{1+T(C 3+T(C 5+T C 7))}\right] \\
& \xi=\left(B_{G}^{2} /(4 \theta)\right)^{1 / 3} \quad \text { Peres Nucl.Mater. } 50(1979) 5569
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cross-sections: fusion reactivity parameterisation (2)

List of parameters for fusion reactivities in Maxwellian plasmas

| Coefficient | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n})^{3} \mathrm{He}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{C}}(\sqrt{\mathrm{keV}})$ | 34.3827 | 68.7508 | 31.3970 | 31.3970 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{c}^{2}(\mathrm{keV})$ | 1124656 | 1124572 | 937814 | 937814 |
| C 1 | $1.17302 \times 10^{-9}$ | $5.51036 \times 10^{-10}$ | $5.65718 \times 10^{-12}$ | $5.43360 \times 10^{-12}$ |
| C 2 | $1.51361 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.41918 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.41267 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.85778 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| C 3 | $7.51886 \times 10^{-2}$ | $-2.02896 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.99167 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.68222 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| C 4 | $4.60643 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-1.91080 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C 5 | $1.35000 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.35776 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.05060 \times 10^{-5}$ | $-2.96400 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| C 6 | $-1.06750 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| C 7 | $1.36600 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}$ range $(\mathrm{keV})$ | $0.2-100$ | $0.5-190$ | $0.2-100$ | 0.35 |
| $(\Delta\langle\sigma \mathrm{v}\rangle)_{\max }(\%)$ | 2.5 |  | $0.2-100$ |  |

T is in keV ; reactivity is in $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Bosch, Hale Nuclear Fusion 32(1992)611

## Cross-sections: fusion reactivity



$$
\begin{aligned}
& @ T=67 \mathrm{keV} \\
& \langle\sigma\rangle_{D T}=\max \\
& @ T=17 \mathrm{keV} \\
& \langle\sigma\rangle_{D^{3} H e}=\langle\sigma\rangle_{D D n} \\
& @ T=90 \mathrm{keV} \\
& \langle\sigma\rangle_{D^{3} H e} \approx 6.5 \\
& \langle\sigma\rangle_{D D n}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fusion $\gamma$-ray emission profile

Fusion $\alpha$-particle source can be measured with radiation capture reaction - branch of the main fusion reactions $\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{T}=\alpha+\mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{D}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}=\alpha+\mathrm{p}$ :
$\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{T} \rightarrow{ }^{5} \mathrm{He}+\gamma(\mathrm{Q}=16.63 \mathrm{MeV})$ and
$\mathrm{D}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }^{5} \mathrm{Li}+\gamma(\mathrm{Q}=16.38 \mathrm{MeV})$
The branching ratio is small: $\frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\sigma(\alpha+n)} \approx \frac{\sigma(\gamma)}{\sigma(\alpha+p)} \approx 5 \times 10^{-5}$
Nevertheless, the $\gamma$-ray profile measurements are feasible for the ITER-like reactors.


The gamma-ray spectrum recorded in the JET discharge with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$-minority heating of the D-plasma.

2 broad peaks are related to the different final states in ${ }^{5}$ Li nucleus.

## Gamma-ray diagnostics: $\gamma$ - spectra

$\gamma$-ray spectrum recorded in $\mathrm{D}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}\right)$-plasmas

$\gamma$-ray spectra recorded in $\alpha$-particle simulation experiment:
${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ - and D-ions accelerated in MeV-energy range with $3^{\text {rd }}$ harmonic ICRF


## Gamma-ray diagnostics: ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ acceleration experiments


non-monotonic q-profile


Tomographic reconstructions of profiles measured in different q-profile phases of the optimised shear plasma discharge. The monotonic q-profile was settled down after sawtooth crash.

## Diagnostic reactions

The goal is to study

- Fusion reaction products: $n, p, t,{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and $\alpha$
- ICRF-driven ions: $H, D, T,{ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ (in JET)

Neutron diagnostics: $2.5-\mathrm{MeV}$ neutrons from DD-reaction and $14-\mathrm{MeV}$ from DT Gamma diagnostics: fast ions
$\gamma$-ray emission is produced due to nuclear reactions with fuel and with the main JET (and ITER) impurities, Be and C

| protons | deuterons | tritons | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{3} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p} \gamma)^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{d}, \gamma))^{5} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{\left.\mathrm{D}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \gamma\right)\right)^{5} \mathrm{Li}}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{4} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n} \gamma)^{10} \mathrm{~B}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{n} \gamma)^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{p} \gamma\right)^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ |
| $\left.{ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)\right)^{10} \mathrm{~B}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p} \gamma)^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t}, \gamma){ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{n} \gamma\right)^{11} \mathrm{C}$ |
| ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p} \gamma){ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}$ |  | $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{n} \gamma)\right)^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{d} \gamma\right)^{10} \mathrm{~B}$ |
| ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{p}, \alpha \gamma){ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ |  | $\left.{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{\alpha} \gamma)\right)^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{p} \gamma\right)^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ |

$\alpha$-particle diagnosis in JET is based on the ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\alpha, \mathrm{n} \gamma)^{12} \mathrm{C}$ reaction

CROSS-SECTION MEASUREMENTS ARE NEEDED

| Nuclear reaction | Energy range, MeV | Levels, MeV | Angular distributions | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{12} \mathbf{C}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{p} \gamma\right){ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ | 0.7, 1.0, 2.5, 3, 4-6 | $1,2,4,5,6,7,8$ | $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ | Validation of existing data. Optimal number of angles: p-5, $\gamma-4$ (e.g. $0^{0}, 55^{0}, 90^{0}, 150^{\circ}$ ) |
| ${ }^{9} \mathbf{B e}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{p} \gamma\right){ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ | 0.5-6 | 1,2, 6 | $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ | Optimal number of angles, AD for strong lines |
| ${ }^{9} \mathbf{B e}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{n} \gamma\right){ }^{11} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.5-6 | 1-9 | $\mathrm{n}, \gamma$ | Optimal number of angles, AD for strong lines |
| ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{d} \gamma\right){ }^{10} \mathrm{~B}$ | 0.5-6 | 1-7 | d, $\gamma$ | Optimal number of angles, AD for strong lines |
| ${ }^{12} \mathbf{C}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p} \gamma){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.5-1 | 1,2,3 | $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ | There is a paper of F.Papillon, P.Walter (NIM/B, 132,468,1997). I have not got the paper, check the AD for L 1 . |
| ${ }^{9} \mathbf{B e}(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{n} \gamma){ }^{10} \mathbf{B}$ | 0.3-3 | 1-7 | n, $\gamma$ | Optimal number of angles, AD for strong lines, $0.3-1 \mathrm{MeV}$ band is very important, ITER relevant. |
| ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p} \gamma)^{10} \mathrm{Be}$ | 0.3-3 | 1,2,4 | $\mathrm{p}, \gamma$ | Optimal number of angles, AD for strong lines, $0.3-1 \mathrm{MeV}$ band is very important, ITER relevant. |
| ${ }^{9} \mathbf{B e}(\mathrm{~d}, \gamma)^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ | 0.3-1 | - | $\gamma_{0}$ | $0.3-1 \mathrm{MeV}$ band is very important, ITER relevant. |
| ${ }^{9} \mathbf{B e}(\mathbf{t}, \mathrm{n} \gamma){ }^{11} \mathbf{B}$ | TBD |  |  | Validation of existing data. <br> ${ }^{9} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{t}, \gamma){ }^{12} \mathrm{~B}$ could be interesting at low energies |








