**Trilateral Euregio Cluster** 



## Impact of stochastic magnetic fields on edge localised modes in TEXTOR

B. Unterberg <sup>1)</sup>, C. Busch <sup>1)</sup>, M. de Bock <sup>2)</sup>, P.Dumortier <sup>3)</sup>, K.H. Finken <sup>1)</sup>, St. Jachmich <sup>3)</sup>, R. Jaspers <sup>2)</sup>, M. Jakubowski <sup>1)</sup>, D. Kalupin <sup>1)</sup>, H.R. Koslowski <sup>1)</sup>, A. Krämer-Flecken <sup>1)</sup>, M. Lehnen <sup>1)</sup>, Y. Liang <sup>1)</sup>, K. Löwenbrück <sup>1)</sup>, H. van der Meiden <sup>2)</sup>, O. Schmitz <sup>1)</sup>, G. Sergienko <sup>1)</sup>, S. Soldatov <sup>4)</sup>, M. Tokar <sup>1)</sup>, G. van Wassenhove <sup>3)</sup>, S. Varshney <sup>2)</sup>, Y. Xu <sup>3)</sup>, O. Zimmermann <sup>1)</sup>

and the TEXTOR team 1,2,3)

1) Institut für Plasmaphysik, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Ass. EURATOM- FZ Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany\*

2) FOM Instituut voor Plasmafysica Rijnhuizen, Ass. "FOM- EURATOM", NL-3430 BE, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands\*

3) Laboratoratoire de Physique des Plamas – Laboratorium voor Plasmafysica, Ass. "EURATOM-Belgian State", ERM- KMS, B- 1000 Brussels, Belgium\*

4) Nuclear Fusion Institute, Russian Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", Kurchatov Square 1, 123182 Moscow, Russia

\* Partner in the Trilateral Euregio Cluster

Bernhard Unterberg

Institut für Plasmaphysik Assoziation EURATOM- Forschungszentrum Jülich

European Pedestal Physics Working Session

3-5 April 2006, Cadarache



## Outline

- Introduction: Issues for ELM mitigation by resonant magnetic perturbations
- The Dynamic Ergodic Divertor (DED) a flexible tool to control the magnetic field structure at the edge
- Limiter H-mode scenario in TEXTOR
- Impact of DED on plasma edge characteristics in limiter H-mode discharges
- Concluding remarks, open issues and challenges



# Issues for ELM mitigation by resonant magnetic perturbations

- Proof of principle successfully demonstrated in DIII-D, complementary experiments in other devices needed to broaden data base
  - extrapolation to future devices
  - benchmark for theory and modelling
- Impact of perturbation spectrum on edge pedestal and global plasma performance (mode excitation) particularly important as options for future installations (as ITER) rather restricted by technical constraints



### The Dynamic Ergodic Divertor – a flexible set-up of perturbation coils

- 16 (+ 2 compensation) coils mounted at the HFS
- Helical pitch aligned to field lines on q=3 surface
- Perturbation current up to 15 kA per coil
- DC, AC at 50 Hz, 1-10 kHz, slow strike point sweeps
- Base modes: 12/4, 6/2 and 3/1



#### Impact of stochastic magnetic fields on edge localised modes in TEXTOR





#### Impact of stochastic magnetic fields on edge localised modes in TEXTOR







#94478

m/n = 3/1 configuration

# Magnetic topology and plasma response as seen in CIII emission

### m/n = 12/4 configuration

LFS





### **Limiter H-mode scenario in TEXTOR**

- Recipe for access to H-mode scenario in the limiter tokamak TEXTOR
  - low magnetic field ( $B_T \le 1.4 \text{ T}$ ),  $q_a$  slightly above 3
  - high heating power (P<sub>SOL</sub> > 1.5 MW, 2x power threshold prescribed by scaling for divertor machines)
  - plasma shifted towards high field side -> substantial restrictions for edge diagnostics
- Overall characteristics of scenario
  - reduction of recycling flux all around the machine, corresponding improvement of particle confinement
  - global effects on energy confinement small (~15% at best)
  - evidence for increased pressure gradient at the edge (mainly in density)
  - substantial spin up of poloidal rotation at the edge, toroidal rotation almost unchanged
  - ELM- like particle and heat flux bursts to PFCs and corresponding relaxations of edge barrier



### Basic scenario: Ip= 240 kA/ Bt= 1.2 T, plasma shifted to HFS (R=1.68m / a=0.4m)









### $D\alpha$ drop seen all around the machine





## Power threshold about twice the L-H threshold in divertor tokamaks – "typical" for limiter H-modes





### Improvement of energy confinement modest





## Outermost interferometer channels @LFS indicate barrier - relaxation events





### Evolution of edge pressure <u>HFS</u> TEXTOR # 97315 (thermal He beam\*)



\*extension of CR model by courtesy of M. Brix



### Substantial spin up of perpendicular turbulence rotation in electron diamagnetic drift direction

(indicating more negative E<sub>r</sub>)





# Radial profile of perpendicular turbulence rotation





### Effect on poloidal rotation qualitatively confirmed by spectroscopic Doppler measurements on CIII



18



### Application of the Dynamic Ergodic Divertor during limiter H-mode phases

- Initial results, more systematic studies to come...
- Poloidal edge rotation is reverted:
  - Common observation with edge ergodisation, attributed to cross field current needed to compensate parallel electron losses, accompanied with formation of positive radial electric field
- Reduction of ELM-like  $D_{\alpha}$  bursts with increasing perturbation current, finally complete suppression
- Pedestal diminishes accordingly.
- Limiter H-mode finally terminates.
- 3/1 configuration: disruption at low perturbation current (fast mode onset) because of low q<sub>a</sub> operation
- No operational window found so far where ELMs are "mitigated" completely with an unchanged pedestal.



### **Example 1, 12/4 configuration, DED DC**

Poincaré plot for  $I_{DED}$  =3.6 kA – ELMs disappear





### **Example 1, 12/4 configuration, DED DC**



DFD



### **Electron pressure profiles** measured by inner He- beam





### Example 2: DED 3/1 configuration, 1 kHz AC





### Density evolution L -> H-mode -> DED phase





### **Concluding remarks and open issues**

- Limiter H-mode scenario developed in TEXTOR
  - high power threshold, high frequency ELM bursts, edge pedestal mainly in density, substantial spin up of perpendicular / poloidal rotation indicating Er well
- Initial experiments show strong influence of magnetic perturbations induced by DED:
  - reversal of poloidal rotation at the edge, relaxation of edge gradients, narrow operational margin in 3/1 configuration because of low mode threshold
  - no operational window for suppression of ELMs with unchanged pedestal
- More detailed information on pedestal quantities needed.
- More systematic studies on MHD characteristics yet to come.
- New experiments in 6/2 configuration of DED are planned.
- With more data from TEXTOR available, comparisons to results from other devices (DIII-D) with respect to the basic mechanisms of ELM mitigation by magnetic perturbations can start.