**ITM-09-IMP5-T3:** FAST ICRH CODE FOR ROUTINE ANALYSIS VR:T. Hellsten, A. Hannan, T. Johnson, J. Höök

ITM – 2011-ACT4 Synergetic Effects between NBI and ICRH

**ITM-09-IMP5-T5:** DEVELOPMENT OF AN ADVANCED 3D FOKKER – PLANCK SOLVER FOR IONS FOR ORBIT AVERAGED MONTE CARLO CODE.

VR: T. Hellsten, Q. Mukhtar, T. Johnson, J. Höök.

**ITM-09-IMP5-T5:** ADOPTIVE  $\delta$ f-METHOD FOR ICRH **VR:** J. Höök, T. Hellsten







## **ITM-09-IMP5-T3:** Fast ICRH code for routine simulation IMP-3

- The global code LION used for wave field calculations  $\bullet$
- A time dependent cubic FEM code for the pitch angle averaged distribution functions
- The quasi-linear RF-operators in the Fokker-Planck code are calculated  $\bullet$ by averaging the wave field and quantities obtained from the wave solver over a nested set of subvolumes
- A benchmarked formula is used to calculate a parallel temperature from  $\bullet$ the pitch angle averaged distribution function
- The susceptibility tensors of the resonant ion species are modified by flux  $\bullet$ surface averaged amplification factors as the distribution functions evolves
- Four time scales,  $t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > t_4$ , are used to achieve that the coupled power by each toroidal mode agrees with the absorbed power ullet
- Source terms for modelling NBI and fusion reaction product or a local ulletMaxellian to allow modelling of the changes of the resonant ion densities either to agree with measurements or transport modelling
- Poloidal wave number important for damping by TTMP and tail formation  $\bullet$



### Power deposition and wave field for strong single pass damping



Power deposition and wave field for weak single pass damping

Different models of the Fokker-Planck coefficients and susceptibility tensor are used for weak and strong single pass damping.

$$\frac{\partial F_n}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[ -\alpha F_n + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} (\beta F_n) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[ H_l \left( \frac{k_\perp v}{\omega_{cl}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial F_n}{\partial v} - \frac{2}{4} \right) \right]$$
where
$$H_l \left( \frac{k_\perp v}{\omega_{cl}} \right) = \left| E_+ J_{l-1} + e^{-2i\zeta} E_- J_{l+1} \right|^2 = \left| \overline{E}_+ J_{l-1} \right|^2 + \left| \overline{E}_- J_{l+1} \right|^2 + 2$$
Modifications of the susceptibility tensors
$$a_{lk}^{(n,l)} = \frac{\chi_{lk}^{(n,l)} (f_n)}{\chi_{lk}^{(n,l)} (f_{M,n})}$$

$$\chi_{ll}^{(nl)} (f_n) \approx \frac{\omega_p^2}{8\omega\omega_c} \int_0^\infty d_{ll}^{(nl)} \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial v} v^2 dv$$

$$d_{\psi\psi}^{(n,l)} \approx 0.25 \left| J_{l-1}^2 + J_{l+1}^2 + 2\tau J_{l-1} J_{l+1} \right| \qquad d_{\chi\chi}^{(n,l)} \approx 0.25 \left| J_{l-1}^2 + J_{l+1}^2 \right|$$

Models are using  $\tau = 1$  for strong single pass and  $\tau = 0$  for weak.



 $\left|\frac{2F_n}{v}\right| + S_n(v)$ 

 $2\tau \left| \overline{E}_{+}^{*} \overline{E}_{-} \right| J_{l-1} J_{l+1}$ 

 $-2\tau J_{l-1}J_{l+1}$ 



Improved model for calculating the electron damping due to TTMP/ELD in the LION code.

When comparing LION with AORSA LION had slightly higher electron damping and lower second harmonic damping. FWCD was higher.

Previously the electron damping was calculated from a local homogeneous dispersion relation assuming plane waves using  $-\omega B_{\parallel} = k_{\varepsilon} E_{\gamma}$ 

After solving the wave field we correct the electron damping by calculating the magnetic fluctuation of the wave field.  $-i\omega B_{\parallel} = \mathbf{B}_0 \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ 

This reduce the electron absorption, in particular near the centre.



Code verifications and validation.

Verifications of the LION code for electron damping.

Verifications of SELFO-light with PION.

Validation of SELFO-light with DIII higher harmonic FWCD.

Including NBI

Validation of SELFO-light with DIII higher harmonic FWCD with NBI



Validation of SELFO-light with DIII higher harmonic FWCD. The power partition for higher harmonic damping is sensitive to distribution functions and thus power.

Good test case for testing the dynamics of the self-consistent modelling.

Typically power to ions for third harmonic D starts from 14% increases up to 45%.

Currents are in good agreements with experiments in absence of beams problem with runaway because losses not introduced.



# ITM-09-IMP5-T5: Alogorithms for $\delta$ f-method Monte Carlo Methods for ICRH

VR:J. Höök, T. Hellsten,

This  $\delta$ f-method requires new source particles with +/- weights.

Resampling is required. In multi dimensional Monte carlo methods one does not want to project the solution on FEM basis.

A method has been developed to resample by finding neighbouring particles and combining them to fewer particles.



VR: Q. Mukhtar, T. Hellsten, T. Johnson Test model operator in 2D radius and pitch angle



Convergence error on near magnetic axis.

Using reflection at boundaries instead of reducing time steps.

