



Update on the hybrid scenarios

J.Garcia, J.F. Artaud, S. Bremond, F. Imbeaux , E. Nardon,



Simulation parameters

- $I_p = 12 \text{ MA}, B_T = 5.3 \text{ T}$
- dI_p /dt= 0.18 MA/s, B_T = 5.3 T, f_G =0.25 during ramp-up. f_G =0.83 flat-top phase
- EC wave launch: equatorial launchers, 8MW during ramp-up, 20MW flat-top
- ICRH: 20 MW, NBI: 33MW
- n_e profile fixed, picked profile, n_e(0) \approx 1.0 10²⁰ m⁻³
- $\rho_{\text{ped}} \approx 0.95$, $n_{\text{ped}} \approx 0.5 \ 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$, $T_{\text{ped}} \approx 4.5 \text{ keV}$
- Bohm-GyroBohm transpor tmodel during ramp-up
- H₉₈=1.2 with Bohm-GyroBohm shape for flat-top phase







- Plasma shape taken from old DINA-CRONOS simulation
- X-point formation at t=20s

Association Euratom-CEA



- The q=1 surface s quickly achieved at t ≈ 550s
- H₉₈=1.2
- Still some low performance β_N =2.3, β_p =1.2
- f_G=0.83















- ICRH (f=49MHz) on-axis for electrons and off-axis for ions
- Excessive temperature peaking is avoided



- First hybrid scenario obtained
- As expected q=1 at t=550s
- Still some low beta

Following steps:

- Increase H_{98} up to 1.3
- By plasma shaping try to increase q95
- Sensitivity to eccd location
- Increase ${\rm f}_{\rm G}$ in the ramp-up to avoid current hole when NBCD is added
- Increase ramp-up rate to avoid q<1